

**HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR****FULL BENCH - I (Time 10:30 AM)****Daily Cause List dated : 03-01-2019****BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAVI SHANKAR JHA & HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE NANDITA DUBEY****Court Room No.: 1****Note:- CASES FOR FINAL HEARING SHALL BE TAKEN UP BY ALL THE BENCHES IMMEDIATELY AFTER COMPLETION OF MOTION HEARING.****NOTE : The facility of PoS (Point of Sale) machine for making online court fee is available at Presentation Centre of High Court of M.P., Jabalpur.****MOTION HEARING****[FINAL DISPOSAL AT MOTION HEARING STAGE - CIVIL CASES]**

SN	Case No	Petitioner / Respondent	Petitioner/Respondent Advocate
1	<b>WP 16549/2016</b>	LAL SAHAB BAIRAGI Versus THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH	MUKESH KUMAR PANDEY, PRASHANT SHRIVAS  ADVOCATE GENERAL, SWAPNIL GANGULY[R-4], DEEPAK BHATIA[R-4], SHIV MOHAN LAL SAXENA[R-1][AG][R-2][AG][R-3][AG]
CORRUPTION-12000 - Prevention of Corruption Act 1988-12002 - Prevention of Corruption Act 1988-12002 Relief - FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ORDER IMPUGNED P-1 {Fixed Date/SPC} [FOR ORDERS ON THE QUESTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION / OPINION ; 1. WHETHER IN VIEW OF THE SPECIFIC EXCLUSION OF THE PROCEDURE FOR PROVIDING HEARING INCORPORATED IN RULE 8 (3) OF THE PENSION RULES OF 1976, IN RESPECT OF THE CASES FALLING UNDER RULE 8 (2), THE SAME CAN BE INSISTED UPON IN THE LIGHT OF THE FULL BENCH DECISION IN THE CASE OF RAM SEWAK MISHRA (SUPRA) ? 2. WHETHER THE FULL BENCH JUDGMENT IN THE CASE OF RAM SEWAK MISHRA (SUPRA) DESERVES TO BE RE-EXAMINED AND RECONSIDERED IN VIEW OF THE WORDS "IN A CASE NOT FALLING UNDER SUB-RULE 2" CLEARLY AND SPECIFICALLY INCORPORATED IN RULE 8 (3) OF THE PENSION RULES OF 1976 ? 3. WHETHER THE AUTHORITY IS REQUIRED TO ISSUE A SHOW W.P. NO.16549/2016 CAUSE NOTICE PRIOR TO PASSING OF AN ORDER IN TERMS OF RULES 8 AND 9 OF THE PENSION RULES OF 1976, IN CASES OF WITHDRAWAL OF PENSION OR PART THEREOF ON ACCOUNT OF CONVICTION IN A CRIMINAL CASE ?			

**TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)****PR (J) / R (J-I) / R(J-II)**